

REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

SUBJECT: AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR BROOKSIDE AVENUE SEWER PIPELINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

MOTIONS:

I move that the City Council determine that approval of the agreement and construction of the Brookside Avenue Sewer Pipeline Replacement Project are categorically exempt from further environmental review in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act, Section 15302 (c), and

I move the City Council approve the plans and specifications for the Brookside Avenue Sewer Pipeline Replacement Project and award a construction contract to MCC Equipment Rentals, Inc., in an amount of \$61,913.00, and authorize a construction contingency of 10 percent pursuant to the procurement policy.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council determine that approval of the agreement and construction of the Brookside Avenue Sewer Pipeline Replacement Project is categorically exempt from further environmental review in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act, Section 15302 (c).

Staff recommends City Council approve the plans and specifications for the Brookside Avenue Sewer Pipeline Replacement Project and award a construction contract to MCC Equipment Rentals, Inc., in an amount of \$61,913.00, and authorize a construction contingency of 10 percent.

DISCUSSION:

The Brookside Avenue Sewer Pipeline Replacement Project (Project) consists of the replacement of a deteriorated and substandard sewer pipeline located in the parkway under parkway trees that is no longer economical to repair. This pipeline requires frequent maintenance, approximately two times per year, to ensure proper operation. Because of this, staff has prepared plans and specifications in house and bid this project for replacement. The new sewer main will be located in the street section of the roadway providing easier access for future maintenance and repair. The Project was budgeted for in the Council approved 2009-2010 budget.

City Council Meeting of **04/20/10**

Award Of Contract For Brookside Avenue Sewer Pipeline Replacement Project

Page 2 of 4

On March 25, 2010, 15 bids were opened by the City Clerks office for the project, as seen on the following table:

Bid Opening Report Summary		
	Contractor	Bid Amount
1	MCC Equipment Rentals.	\$61,913.00
2	TE Roberts	\$65,507.00
3	EI-Co	\$71,515.00
4	Weka	\$75,551.00
5	Powell Engineering	\$77,090.00
6	Trautwein Construction	\$78,960.00
7	HAC Construction	\$80,200.00
8	Kana Pipeline	\$82,400.00
9	MKP Construction	\$86,444.00
10	Downing Construction	\$87,932.00
11	Pro-Craft Plumbing	\$92,000.00
12	C.P. Construction	\$94,767.00
13	Genesis Construction	\$102,500.00
14	TK Construction	\$129,324.50
15	MCZ	*\$419,495.00

Note: * Identifies a discrepancy in bid form, the bid amount was calculated and corrected based on the unit bid price in accordance with the bid documents.

Staff in concert with the City Attorney evaluated the bid submitted by MCC Equipment Rentals, Inc., and found no errors. Staff also reviewed the contractor's license and referrals and determined they have done similar work in a satisfactory manner. As a result, staff has determined the lowest responsive bid was submitted by MCC Equipment Rentals, Inc., in an amount of \$61,913.00. The engineer's construction estimate was \$80,000.00.

Environmental Considerations: The project will install approximately 260 linear feet of sewer pipeline located on Brookside Avenue, east of Center Street. This project will replace an existing underground pipeline that has reached its useful service life and involves negligible expansion capacity, the project is categorically exempt from further environmental review in accordance with California Environmental Quality Act, Section 15302 (c).

Bids were reviewed by the City Attorney.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Sufficient funds for the completion of the project are included in the approved Waste Water budget.

ALTERNATIVES:

- 1) City Council may reject all bids and request the project be re-bid.
- 2) City Council may reject all bids and not pursue the project.

However, neither of these alternatives are recommended because they do not allow of the permanent repair of City streets.

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1) Bid Opening Report
- 2) Bid Analysis

Prepared by:

Recommended by:

BASSAM ALZAMMAR
Utilities Designer/Draftsperson

N. ENRIQUE MARTINEZ
City Manager

Reviewed by:

Reviewed by:

CHRIS DIGGS
Assistant Utilities Director

DANIEL J. McHUGH
City Attorney

Reviewed by:

ROSEMARY HOERNING
Municipal Utilities and Engineering Director